

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/607,361

Applicant(s)

SHIGEMORI ET AL.

Examiner

Maria B. Marvich, PhD

Art Unit

1636

## All Participants:

(1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD. *mm*

(2) Susan Dadio.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, second paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 13*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant was contacted to discuss a potential amendment to claim 13 to put the case in condition for allowance. Applicant's absence from the office made a timely amendment not possible.*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)